IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Amy B. Vandeveld, SBN 137904 LAW OFFICES OF AMY B. VANDEVELD 1850 Fifth Avenue, Suite 22 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 231-8883 Facsimile: (619) 231-8329

Attorney for Plaintiff

OTOCT 19 AM 9: 02
LERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
OTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

B.Y: 9

DEPUTY

7

8

5

6

9

10 KAREL SPIKES,

Plaintiff,

12 vs.

IN & OUT CAR WASH, INC. dba NORTH
PARK CAR WASH; DOUBLE D & DOUBLE J,
LLC and DOES 1 THROUGH 10, Inclusive,

Defendants.

Case 107. CV 2026 DMS (WMC)

# CIVIL COMPLAINT

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL [F.R.C.P. §38(b); Local Rule 38.1]

Plaintiff, KAREL SPIKES (hereinafter referred to as "Plaintiff"), file this cause of action against Defendants IN & OUT CAR WASH, INC. dba NORTH PARK CAR WASH (hereinafter \*NORTH PARK CAR WASH\*\*), DOUBLE D & DOUBLE J, LLC and DOES 1 THROUGH 10, Inclusive, and would show unto the Court the following:

I.

#### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1. This Court has original jurisdiction of this civil action pursuant to 28 USC §1331, 28 USC §§1343(a)(3) and 1343(a)(4) for claims arising under the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 USC §12101 et seq. and the Court's supplemental jurisdiction, 28 USC §1367.

15

13

16

17

18

19

21

2324

26 27

20

Venue in this Court is proper pursuant to 28 USC 2. §§1391(b) and (c).

1

2

3

4

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

Pursuant to 28 USC §1367(a), Plaintiff shall assert all causes of action based on state law, as plead in this complaint, under the supplemental jurisdiction of the federal court. the causes of action based on federal law and those based on 7 state law, as herein stated, arose from a common nuclei of operative fact. That is, Plaintiff was denied equal access to Defendants' facilities, goods, and/or services in violation of both federal and state laws and/or was injured due to violations of federal and state access laws. The state actions of Plaintiff are so related to the federal actions that they form part of the same case or controversy. The actions would ordinarily be expected to be tried in one judicial proceeding.

II.

#### THE PARTIES

- Defendant NORTH PARK CAR WASH is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a business or corporation or franchise organized and existing and/or doing business under the laws of the State of California. NORTH PARK CAR WASH is located at 3401 University Avenue, San Diego, CA (hereinafter sthe subject property .) Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant NORTH PARK CAR WASH is, and at all times mentioned herein was, the owner, lessor or lessee of the subject property and/or the owner and/or operator of the public accommodation located at the subject property.
- 5. Defendant DOUBLE D & DOUBLE J, LLC is, and at all times 28 mentioned herein was, a business or corporation or franchise

organized and existing in and/or doing business under the laws of the State of California. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant DOUBLE D & DOUBLE J, LLC is, and at all times mentioned herein was, the owner, lessor or lessee of the subject property.

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

24

25

- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon |alleges, that Defendants and each of them herein were, at all times relevant to the action, the owners, franchisees, lessees, general partners, limited partners, agents, employees, employers, representing partners, subsidiaries, parent companies, joint venturers and/or divisions of the remaining Defendants and were acting within the course and scope of that relationship. Plaintiff is further informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that each of the Defendants herein gave consent to, ratified, and/or authorized the acts alleged herein of each of the remaining Defendants.
- Plaintiff is an otherwise qualified disabled individual 7. as provided in the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 USC §12102, Part 5.5 of the California Health & Safety Code and the California Unruh Civil Rights Act, §§51, et seq., 52, et seg., the California Disabled Persons Act, §§54, et seq., and 22 Nother statutory measures which refer to the protection of the rights of "physically disabled persons." Plaintiff visited the public accommodation owned and/or operated by Defendants and/or located at the subject property for the purpose of availing 26 himself of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, 27 |advantages, or accommodations operated and/or owned by Defendants 28 and/or located on the subject property.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

27

28

8. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that the subject facility has been newly constructed and/or underwent remodeling, repairs, or alterations since 1971, and that Defendants have failed to comply with California access standards which applied at the time of each such new construction and/or alteration.

III.

#### **FACTS**

- 9. Plaintiff has a mobility impairment and uses a wheelchair. Moreover, he has had a history of or has been classified as having a physical impairment, as required by 42 USC §12102(2)(A).
- On or about March 29, 2007 and continuing through the 13 10. present date, Plaintiff was denied full and equal access to the 14 facilities owned and/or operated by the Defendants because the 15 facility and/or subject property were inaccessible to members of the disabled community who use wheelchairs for mobility. 17 Plaintiff was denied full and equal access to portions of the 18 property because of barriers which included, but are not limited 19 to, inaccessible path of travel, inaccessible cashier counter, 20 ||inaccessible restroom facilities and lack of an accessible 21 parking space, as well as, lack of signage for such space. 22 Plaintiff was also denied full and equal access because of discriminatory policies and practices regarding accommodating people with disabilities. Plaintiff filed this lawsuit to compel compliance with access laws and regulations. 26
  - 11. As a result of Defendants' failure to remove architectural barriers, Plaintiff suffered injuries. People with

1 disabilities, because of the existing barriers, are denied full and equal access to the Defendants' facilities. The ADA has been in effect for more than 16 years. Given the vast availability of information about ADA obligations, including FREE documents which are available from the U.S. Department of Justice by calling (800) 514-0301 or at the following web sites: www.sba.gov/ada/smbusgd.pdf, www.ada.gov/taxpack.pdf and www.usdoj.gov/crt/ada, the failure of Defendants to comply with their barrier removal obligations is contemptible.

9

10

11

14

16

17

18

19

20

23

25

26

27

28

- Plaintiff is an otherwise qualified individual as provided in the Americans with Disabilities Act or 1990, 42 USC §12102, the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Section 504 (as amended 29 USC §794) and the California Unruh Civil Rights Act, Civil Code §§51, 52, 54.1, and 54.3, and other statutory measures which refer to the protection of the rights of "physically disabled persons." Plaintiff visited the public facilities owned and operated by Defendants for the purpose of availing himself of the goods and services offered and provided by Defendants and/or for the purpose of obtaining removal of architectural barriers and/or modification of policies, practices and procedures to provide accessibility to people with disabilities. Plaintiff was injured in fact, as set forth more specifically herein.
- Plaintiff alleges that Defendants will continue to operate public accommodations which are inaccessible to him and to other individuals with disabilities. Pursuant to 42 USC §12188(a), Defendants are required to remove architectural barriers to their existing facilities.
  - Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law for the

3

5

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

1 linjuries currently being suffered in that money damages will not adequately compensate Plaintiff for the amount of harm suffered as a result of exclusion from participation in the economic and social life of this state.

Plaintiff believes that architectural barriers 15. precluding Plaintiff full and equal access of the public accommodation will continue to exist at Plaintiff's future visits, which will result in future discrimination of Plaintiff, in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act. Plaintiff is currently being subjected to discrimination because Plaintiff cannot make use of and obtain full and equal access to the facilities, goods and/or services offered by Defendants to the general public. Plaintiff seeks damages for each offense relating to each of Plaintiff's visits to the subject property when Plaintiff was denied full and equal access to the subject property or was deterred from attempting to avail himself of the benefits, goods, services, privileges and advantages of the place of public accommodation at the subject property because of continuing barriers to full and equal access.

IV.

# FIRST CLAIM FOR VIOLATION OF AMERICAN WITH DISABILITIES ACT 42 USC §12101, et seq.

- Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each 16. and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 15, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.
- Plaintiff was denied full and equal access to Defendants' goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations within a public accommodation owned, leased

1 and/or operated by Defendants, in violation of 42 USC §12182(a). Plaintiff was, therefore, subjected to discrimination and is entitled to injunctive relief pursuant to 42 USC §12188 as a result of the actions or inaction of Defendants.

4

5

7

10

11

12

13

14

26

Among other remedies, Plaintiff seeks an injunctive order requiring compliance with state and federal access laws for all access violations which exist at the property, requiring removal of architectural barriers and other relief as the court may deem proper. Plaintiff also seeks any other order that will redress the discrimination to which he has been subjected, is being subjected and/or will be subjected.

v.

# SECOND CLAIM FOR VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE

- Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each 15 19. and every allegation contained in paragraphs .1 through 18, 16 inclusive, as though set forth fully herein. 17
- Based on the facts plead hereinabove and elsewhere in 18 this complaint, Defendants did, and continue to, discriminate 19 against Plaintiff and persons similarly situated by denying 20 disabled persons full and equal access to and enjoyment of the subject facilities and of Defendants' goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations within a 23 public accommodation, in violation of California Civil Code §§51, 24 et seq., 52, et seq., and 54, et seq. 25
- Defendants' actions constitute a violation of 27 ||Plaintiff's rights under California Civil Code §§51, et seq., 52, 28 et seq., and 54, et seq. and therefore Plaintiff is entitled to

injunctive relief remedying all such violations of California access laws and standards. In addition, Plaintiff is entitled to damages under California Civil Code §54.3 for each offense. The amount of damages suffered by Plaintiff is not yet determined. When the amount is ascertained, Plaintiff will ask the Court for leave to amend this complaint to reflect this amount. Plaintiff is also entitled to and requests attorneys' fees and costs.

- 22. The actions of Defendants were and are in violation of the Unruh Civil Rights Act, California Civil Code §§51, et seq. and therefore Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief remedying all such violations of California access laws and standards. In addition, Plaintiff is entitled to damages under California Civil Code §52 for each offense. The amount of damages suffered by Plaintiff is not yet determined. When the amount is ascertained, Plaintiff will ask the Court for leave to amend this complaint to reflect this amount.
- 23. Plaintiff seeks all of the relief available to him under Civil Code §§51, 52 et seq., 54, 54.1, 54.2, 54.3, and any other Civil Code Sections which provide relief for the discrimination suffered by Plaintiff, including damages and attorneys fees.

VI.

# THIRD CLAIM FOR VIOLATION OF HEALTH AND SAFETY CODE §19950, ET SEQ.

- 24. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 23, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.
  - 25. Defendants' facilities are public accommodations within

1 the meaning of Health and Safety Code §19950, et seq., and 2 Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants have newly built or altered the subject property and/or the subject facility since 1971 within the meaning of California Health and Safety Code §19959. The aforementioned acts and omissions of Defendants constitute a denial of equal access to the use and enjoyment of the Defendants' facilities by people with disabilities.

Defendants' failure to fulfill their duties to provide full and equal access to their facilities by people with disabilities has caused Plaintiff to suffer deprivation of Plaintiff's civil rights, as well as other injuries.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27. As a result of Defendants' violations of Health and Safety Code §§19955, et seq., described herein, Plaintiff is entitled to and requests injunctive relief pursuant to Health and Safety Code §§19953, and to reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

VII.

# FOURTH CLAIM FOR DECLARATORY RELIEF

- Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs '1 through 27, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.
- An actual controversy now exists in that Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendants' premises are in violation of the disabled access laws of the State of California including, but not limited to, Civil Code §§§51, et seq., §§52, et seq., §§54, et seq., Health and Safety Code §§19950, et seq., Government Code §§4450, et seq. and 7250, et seq., Title 24 of the California Code of Regulations, and/or

Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act and its implementing Accessibility Regulations.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

30. A declaratory judgment is necessary and appropriate at this time so that each of the parties may know their respective rights and duties and act accordingly.

#### VIII.

#### FIFTH CLAIM FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 31. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference each and every allegation contained in paragraphs 1 through 30, inclusive, as though set forth fully herein.
- 32. Plaintiff will suffer irreparable harm unless

  Defendants are ordered to remove architectural barriers at

  Defendants' public accommodation, and/or to modify their policies

  and practices regarding accommodating people with disabilities.

  Plaintiff has no adequate remedy at law to redress the

  discriminatory conduct of Defendants.
- 33. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief to redress Plaintiff's injuries.

#### IX.

# JURY DEMAND

34. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiffs hereby request a jury trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against the Defendants, NORTH PARK CAR WASH, DOUBLE D & DOUBLE J, LLC and DOES 1 through 10, as follows:

1. For injunctive relief, compelling Defendants to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Unruh Civil Rights Act and the Disabled Persons Act. Note: the plaintiff is not invoking section 55 of the California Civil Code and is not seeking injunctive relief under that section:

- 2. That the Court declare the respective rights and duties of Plaintiff and Defendants as to the removal of architectural barriers at Defendants' public accommodations;
- 3. An order awarding Plaintiff actual, special and/or statutory damages for violation of his civil rights and for restitution including, but not limited to, damages pursuant to the applicable Civil Code Sections including, but not limited to, §§52 and 54.3 for each and every offense of Civil Code §§51 and 54;
- 4. An award of compensatory damages according to proof;
- 5. An award of up to three times the amount of actual damages pursuant to the Unruh Civil Rights Act and the Disabled Persons Act; and
- 6. An order awarding Plaintiff reasonable attorneys' fees and costs;
- 7. Such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

DATED:

9/20/07

LAW OFFICES OF AMY B. VANDEVELD

AMY B. VANDEVELD,

Attorney for Plaintiff

l (a) PLAINTIFFS

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE RECONSTRUCTIONS ON THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE RECONSTRUCTION

DEFENDERTH PARK CAR WASH; DOUBLE D & DOUBLE J, LLC and DOES 1 THROUGH 10, Inclusive,

San Diego

(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

San Diego

YES •

DEF

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED

(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) Amy B. Vandeveld, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF AMY B. VANDEVELD 1850 Fifth Avenue, Suite 22

ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN)

2020 DMS

(619) 231-8883 San Diego,CA 92101

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY)

• 1U.S. Government Plaintiff

XX3Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)

 4Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in 2U.S. Government Defendant Item III

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN X IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT (For Diversity Cases Only) DEF PΤ Incorporated or Principal Place of Business Citizen of This State in This State Incorporated and Principal Place of Business

Citizen of Another State

Citizen or Subject of a Foreign

in Another State 3 · Foreign Nation

IV. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE US CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE. DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY).

Country

42 U.S.C. Sections 12101-12111, 11281-12184 and 12201 et. seq.

CONTRACT	E AN X IN ONE BOX ONLY) TORTS		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 151 Recovery of Overpayment ÆEnforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153Recovery of Overpayment of Veterans Benefits 160 Stockholders Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability REAL PROPERTY	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel & Slander  330 Federal Employers' Liability  340 Marine  345 Marine Product Liability  350 Motor Vehicle  355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability  360 Other Personal Injury  CIVIL RIGHTS	PERSONAL INJURY  362 Personal Injury- Medical Malpractice  363 Personal Injury - Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERTY  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage  385 Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS	610 Agriculture 620 Other Food & Drug 625 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC881 630 Liquor Laws 640 RR & Truck 650 Airline Regs 660 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Occupational Safety/Health 690 Occupational Safety/Health 710Fair Labor Standards Act 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act	422 Appeal 28 USC 158  423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS  820 Copyrights  830 Patent  840 Tmdemark  SOCIAL SECURITY  861 HIA (13958)  862 Black Lung (923)  863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))  864 SSID Title XVI  865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS  870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	400 State Reappointment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities Exchange 875 Customer Châllenge 12 USG 891 Agricultural Acts 892 Economic Stabilization Act 893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Electmant 240 Tort to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/Accommodations 444 Welfare 440 Other Civil Rights	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence Habeas Corpus  530 General  535 Death Penalty  540 Mandamus & Other  550 Civil Rights	790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	9 871 IRS - Third Purty 26 USC 7689	895 Freedom of Information Act     900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice     950 Constitutionality of State     890 Other Statutory Actions

VI.	ORIGIN	(PLACE	AN X II	N ONE	BOX ONLY)
-----	--------	--------	---------	-------	-----------

 7 Appeal to District Judge from • 4 Reinstated or • 5 Transferred from 6 Multidistrict Litigation X•X1 Original Proceeding • 2 Removal from 3 Remanded from Appelate Magistrate Judgment another district (specify) Reopened Court State Court Check YES only if demanded in complaint: To be

VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION JURY DEMAND: \*\*X determined COMPLAINT: UNDER f.r.c.p. 23 at trial

IF ANY (See.Instructions): VIII. RELATED CASE(S)

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

::ODMA\PCDOCS\WORDPERFECT\22816\1 January 24, 2000 (3:10pm)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

- KD # 143684 \* \* C 0 P Y \* \* October 19, 2007 09:15:18

# Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 07CV2026

Judge..: DANA M SABRAW

\$350.00 CK

Amount.:

Check#.: BC 3269

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: CIVIL FILING

SPIKES V. IN & OUT CAR WASH, I

ET AL